1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 BEVERLY JEAN HILL, 11 Plaintiff, CIV-S-04-1784 LKK GGH 12 VS. 13 JO ANNE BARNHART, **ORDER** Commissioner of Social Security, 14 15 Defendant. 16 17 On June 15, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the 18 19 findings and recommendations were to be filed within ten days. No objections have been filed. 20 This court reviews de novo those portions of the proposed findings of fact to 21 which objection has been made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. 22 Commodore Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 23 (1982). As to any portion of the proposed findings of fact to which no objection has been made, 24 the court assumes its correctness and decides the motions on the applicable law. See Orand v. 25 <u>United States</u>, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are ///// 26

1	reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.
2	1983).
3	The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,
4	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the Proposed Findings and Recommendations in full.
5	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:
6	1. The Proposed Findings and Recommendations filed June 15, 2005, are
7	ADOPTED;
8	2. This action is dismissed without prejudice. <u>See</u> Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R.
9	Civ. P. 41(b).
10	DATED: August 31, 2005.
11	
12	/s/Lawrence K. Karlton UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	hill1784.jo
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	